Midterm exam，機器學習，Fall 2020．Open book but no calculators／cell phones allowed．Answers may include $e^{2}, \sqrt{2}$ ，etc．but simplify when possible．

Your Name: $\qquad$

## Problem 1.

Suppose

1. we have some observed data X (a set of real numbers $\left\{x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right\}$
2. We assume the data are random samples generated by a normal distribution of unknown mean $\mu$.

## Question 1a

What is the maximum likelihood estimator for the $\mu$ ?

Solution: The arithmetic mean, $\mu=\hat{x} \stackrel{\text { def }}{=} \Sigma_{i} x_{i} / n$.

## Question 1b

Given $\mu=0$, what is the maximum likelihood estimator for $\sigma^{2}$ ?

## Give the mathematical derivation for your answers:

## Solution:

## MLE of Normal Mean

We need to maximize the likelihood with respect to $\mu$. The easiest way is to take the derivative of the $\log$ likelihood with respect to $\mu$ and solve for its zero value. For variety, I show a different way.

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\left.\underset{\mu}{\arg \max } \prod_{i=1}^{n} \frac{1}{\sigma \sqrt{2 \pi}} \exp \left(-\frac{\left(x_{i}-\mu\right)^{2}}{\sigma^{2}}\right)\right) \\
&= \underset{\mu}{\arg \max } \prod_{i=1}^{n} \exp \left(-\frac{\left(x_{i}-\mu\right)^{2}}{\sigma^{2}}\right) \\
&=\underset{\mu}{\arg \max } \exp \left(-\sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{\left(x_{i}-\mu\right)^{2}}{\sigma^{2}}\right) \\
&=\underset{\mu}{\arg \max } \sum_{i=1}^{n}-\frac{\left(x_{i}-\mu\right)^{2}}{\sigma^{2}} \\
&=\left.\underset{\mu}{\arg \min } \sum_{i=1}^{n}\left(x_{i}-\mu\right)^{2}=\underset{\mu}{\arg \min }\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} x_{i}^{2}-\sum_{i=1}^{n} 2 x_{i} \mu+\sum_{i=1}^{n} \mu^{2}\right)\right) \\
&= \underset{\mu}{\arg \min }\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} \mu^{2}-\sum_{i=1}^{n} 2 \mu x_{i}\right)=\underset{\mu}{\arg \min \left(n \mu^{2}-\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} x_{i}\right) 2 \mu\right)} \quad \text { exp function is monotonically increasing } \\
&= \underset{\mu}{\arg \min }\left(n \mu^{2}-n \hat{x} 2 \mu\right)=\underset{\mu}{\arg \min }\left(\mu^{2}-\hat{x} 2 \mu+\hat{x}^{2}\right) \\
&= \underset{\mu}{\arg \min }(\mu-\hat{x})^{2}=\hat{x} \checkmark
\end{aligned}
$$

## Solution:

## MLE of Normal Variance

Method 1: treating the log likelihood as a function of $\sigma$
The likelihood is:

$$
\prod_{i=1}^{n} \frac{1}{\sigma \sqrt{2 \pi}} \exp \left(\frac{-\left(x_{i}-0\right)^{2}}{2 \sigma^{2}}\right)
$$

It is convenient to work with the log likelihood, which has the same maximum as the likelihood.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \max _{\sigma}\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left(\frac{-\left(x_{i}-0\right)^{2}}{2 \sigma^{2}}-\lg (\sigma)-\lg (\sqrt{2 \pi})\right)\right) \\
= & \max _{\sigma}\left(\frac{-1}{2 \sigma^{2}} \sum_{i=1}^{n}\left(x_{i}-0\right)^{2}-n \lg (\sigma)-n \lg (\sqrt{2 \pi})\right) \\
= & \max _{\sigma}\left(\frac{-\sum_{i}\left(x_{i}^{2}\right)}{2 \sigma^{2}}-n \lg (\sigma)\right) \quad \log \text { likelihood }(\sigma)
\end{aligned}
$$

Let $S_{2}$ denote $\sum_{i} x_{i}^{2}$. Take derivative and solve for the value of $\sigma$ which makes it equal to zero.

$$
\left.\begin{array}{rl}
\text { find } \sigma: & \frac{S_{2}}{\sigma^{3}}-\frac{n}{\sigma}=0 \\
& \frac{S_{2}}{\sigma^{2}}-n=0 \Rightarrow \quad \frac{S_{2}}{\sigma^{2}}=n \Rightarrow \sigma^{2}=\frac{S_{2}}{n} \checkmark
\end{array} \quad \quad \text { derivative } \frac{d}{d \sigma} \text { of } \log \text { likelihood }(\sigma) \text { }\right)
$$

## Method 2: treating the $\log$ likelihood as a function of $\sigma^{2}$

In this method, I find it more clear to use a plain (not squared) variable to denote $\sigma^{2}$, so let $y:=\sigma^{2}$.

$$
\max _{y}\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{-\left(x_{i}-0\right)^{2}}{2 y}-\lg (\sqrt{y})-\lg (\sqrt{2 \pi})\right)=\max _{y}\left(\frac{-\sum_{i}\left(x_{i}^{2}\right)}{2 n y}-\lg (\sqrt{y})\right) \quad \log \text { likelihood }(y)
$$

Again, let $S_{2}$ denote $\sum_{i} x_{i}^{2}$. Take derivative and solve for the value of $y$ which makes it equal to zero. find $y: \frac{S_{2} n}{2 y^{2}}-\frac{1}{2 y}=0 \quad$ derivative $\frac{d}{d y}$ of $\log$ likelihood $(y)$

$$
\frac{S_{2} n}{2 y}-\frac{1}{2}=0 y \Rightarrow \quad S_{2} n-y=0 \Rightarrow \quad y=\frac{S_{2}}{n} \Rightarrow \sigma^{2}=\frac{S_{2}}{n} \checkmark
$$
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## Problem 2.

Let $v$ be a random variable defined by these values and probabilities.

| $v$ | probability |
| :---: | :---: |
| 2 | 0.4 |
| 3 | 0.3 |
| 4 | 0.2 |
| 5 | 0.1 |

Let $V(n)=v_{1}+v_{2}+\cdots+v_{n}$ be the sum of $n$ independent samples of $v$.

## Question 2

Derive the mean and standard deviation of $V(n)$.

Solution: First we manually compute the mean and variance of a single sample $v$. Then we use "the expectation of a sum of $f\left(x_{i}\right)$ is the sum of the expectation of $f\left(x_{i}\right)$ " property to extend that result to $V(n)$. Let $\mu_{i}$ and $\sigma_{i}^{2}$ denote the mean and variance of a single sample $v_{i}$.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { mean }\left(v_{i}\right)=: \mu_{i} \stackrel{\text { def }}{=} \mathrm{E}[v]=0.4 \cdot 2+0.3 \cdot 3+0.2 \cdot 4+0.1 \cdot 5=3 \\
& \text { variance }\left(v_{i}\right)=: \sigma_{i}^{2} \stackrel{\text { def }}{=} \mathrm{E}\left[\left(v_{i}-\mu_{i}\right)^{2}\right]=0.4 \cdot(2-3)^{2}+0.3 \cdot(3-3)^{2}+0.2 \cdot(4-3)^{2}+0.1 \cdot(5-3)^{2}=1 \\
& \text { mean } V(n)=: \mu_{n} \stackrel{\text { def }}{=} \mathrm{E}\left[\sum_{i=1}^{n} v_{i}\right]=\sum_{i=1}^{n} \mathrm{E}[v]=\sum_{i=1}^{n} \mu_{i}=n \mu_{i}=3 n \\
& \text { variance } V(n)=: \sigma_{n}^{2} \stackrel{\text { def }}{=} \mathrm{E}\left[\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left(v_{i}-\mu_{i}\right)^{2}\right]=\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left[\mathrm{E}\left[\left(v_{i}-\mu_{i}\right)^{2}\right]\right]=n \sigma_{i}^{2}=n
\end{aligned}
$$

Std Dev $V(n) \stackrel{\text { def }}{=} \quad \sqrt{\sigma_{n}^{2}}=\sqrt{n}$
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## Problem 3.

The Poisson distribution has parameter $\lambda \geqq 0$, defining a probability distribution over the non-negative integers $(0,1, \ldots)$ as follows:

$$
\operatorname{Pois}(k ; \lambda) \quad \stackrel{\text { def }}{=} \quad P[k]=\frac{\lambda^{k}}{k!\exp (\lambda)}, \quad k \in \mathbb{N}_{0}
$$

This problem involves inference from data generated by one of two Poisson distributions: Pois $\left(\lambda_{1}\right)$ or $\operatorname{Pois}\left(\lambda_{2}\right)$. The following experiment is done.

1. $\lambda$ is set to $\left\{\lambda_{1}, \lambda_{2}\right\}$ with probability $m_{1}$ and $m_{2}=1-m_{1}$.
2. A random sample $y$ is drawn from $\operatorname{Pois}(\lambda)$

## Question 3a

What is the posterior probability $\mathrm{P}\left[\lambda=\lambda_{1} \mid y=k\right]$ ?

## Solution:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{P}\left[\lambda=\lambda_{1} \mid y=k\right] & =\frac{\mathrm{P}\left[\lambda=\lambda_{1}\right] \mathrm{P}\left[y \mid \lambda=\lambda_{1}\right]}{\mathrm{P}[y=k]} \\
& =\frac{m_{1} \frac{\lambda_{1}^{y}}{y!\exp \left(\lambda_{1}\right)}}{m_{1} \frac{\lambda_{1}^{y}}{y!\exp \left(\lambda_{1}\right)}+m_{2} \frac{\lambda_{2}^{y}}{y!\exp \left(\lambda_{2}\right)}} \\
& =\frac{m_{1} \frac{\lambda_{1}^{y}}{\exp \left(\lambda_{1}\right)}}{m_{1} \frac{\lambda_{1}^{y}}{\exp \left(\lambda_{1}\right)}+m_{2} \frac{\lambda_{2}^{y}}{\exp \left(\lambda_{2}\right)}} \\
& =\frac{1}{1+\frac{m_{2}}{m_{1}}\left(\frac{\lambda_{2}}{\lambda_{1}}\right)^{y} \exp \left(\lambda_{1}-\lambda_{2}\right)}
\end{aligned}
$$

Or equivalently,

$$
\text { Posterior odds } \lambda_{1}: \lambda_{2}=m_{1} \lambda_{2}^{y} \exp \left(\lambda_{1}\right): m_{2} \lambda_{1}^{y} \exp \left(\lambda_{2}\right)
$$

## Question 3b:

What kind of prior is this? Is it conjugate? Why or why not?

Solution: The prior on $\lambda$ is a simple 2-value distribution $\lambda=\lambda_{1}$ or $\lambda=\lambda_{2}$ with odds $m_{1}: m_{2}$. Could also be considered a very simple mixture model weighted by $m_{1}: m_{2}$. The components being the trivial constant probability distributions: with probability $100 \%, \lambda=\lambda_{1}$ or $\lambda=\lambda_{2}$ respectively.
The posterior is indeed conjugate as it has the same form (2-value distribution $\lambda=\lambda_{1}$ or $\lambda=\lambda_{2}$ ) but with weights: $m_{1} \lambda_{2}^{k} \exp \left(\lambda_{1}\right): m_{2} \lambda_{1}^{k} \exp \left(\lambda_{2}\right)$
Note that the definition in this problem also defines a predictive distribution over $k$, and this distribution is a mixture model of two poisson distributions. After observing $k=y$, and updated posterior predictive is still a mixture model of the same two poisson distributions (but with different weights).
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## Problem 4.

A standard poker deck has 52 cards. 13 each of: $\downarrow \bullet$. The entropy of a single card drawn at random is $\approx 5.7$ bits of information. You cannot see the card, but I can.
Question 4a
If I told you the card is black (i.e. ' ' or ${ }^{\prime} \not{ }^{\prime}$ ); how much entropy would remain? (give numerical answer and reason)

Solution: The card is equally likely to be black or not, so the answer to that question gives us one bit of information. Therefore the remaining entropy $\lg (52)-1 \approx 4.7$.

## Question 4b

If I then told you the card was a spade ' ', how much entropy would remain then? (give numerical answer and reason)

Solution: Given the card is black, again the card is equally likely to be ${ }^{\prime}{ }^{\prime}$ or not, so the answer to that question gives us one bit of information. Therefore the remaining entropy after the second answer is $\lg (52)-1-1 \approx 3.7$.

## Question 4c

Two cards are drawn from a fresh deck of cards. Let $S_{1}, S_{2}$ denote the first and second cards respectively. What is the mutual information $\mathrm{I}\left(S_{1}, S_{2}\right)$ (answer can include lg symbol).

Solution: $\mathrm{I}\left(S_{1}, S_{2}\right)=\mathrm{H}\left(S_{2}\right)-\mathrm{H}\left(S_{2} \mid S_{1}\right)=\lg (52)-\lg (51)$
Since $\mathrm{H}\left(S_{2} \mid S_{1}\right)$ is the entropy over 51 equally likely cards.
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Problem 5. Consider a classification problem with two features $F 1 \in\{0,1,2\}, F 2 \in\{0,1,2,3\}$, Assume we know the two classes occur with equal probability: $\mathrm{P}[C=\mathrm{A}]=\mathrm{P}[C=\mathrm{B}]=0.5$ (so you do not need to estimate $\mathrm{P}[C=\mathrm{A}]$, just take it as given to be 0.5 ).

| Training Data |  |  | Test Data |  | $\mathrm{P}[\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{A} \mid \mathrm{F} 1, \mathrm{~F} 2]: \mathrm{P}[\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{B} \mid \mathrm{F} 1, \mathrm{~F} 2]$ Using Prior: |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| F1 | F2 | Class | F1 | F2 | MLE | Jeffreys | Laplace |
| 2 | 3 | A | 2 | 3 |  |  |  |
| 0 | 0 | A | - | 1 |  |  |  |
| 2 | 2 | A | 0 | 3 |  |  |  |
| 2 | 0 | A | 1 | 0 |  |  |  |
| 2 | 0 | B | 0 | - |  |  |  |
| 2 | 0 | B | 1 | 3 |  |  |  |
| 1 | 0 | B | 0 | 2 |  |  |  |
| 2 | 0 | B | $\overline{-}$ | - |  |  |  |

The above table gives the $\mathrm{P}[\mathrm{F} \mid \mathrm{C}]$ probabilities for each feature and class.

## Question 5

Compute the probability a Naïve Bayes classifier would assign to $\mathrm{P}[C=\mathrm{A}]$, using maximum estimation, Jeffrey's priors or Laplace priors respectively when estimating probabilities involving feature values. You may report the answer in terms of odds, so for example, if the $\mathrm{P}[C=\mathrm{A}]=\frac{1}{3}$, you can report that as 1:2 (hint: it is easier to work with odds).
Given the Naïve Bayes assumption and that we know $\mathrm{P}[C=\mathrm{A}]=\mathrm{P}[C=\mathrm{B}]$, the most convenient way to compute the posterior odds uses the following relationships.

$$
\mathrm{P}[C=\mathrm{A} \mid F 1, F 2]: \mathrm{P}[C=\mathrm{B} \mid F 1, F 2]=\mathrm{P}[F 1 \mid C=\mathrm{A}]: \mathrm{P}[F 2 \mid C=\mathrm{B}]
$$

So first I would tally value counts for F1, and F2 in each class, then add in "pseudocounts" as appropriate for the given prior. Worksheet for intermediate calculations.

|  | Feature F1 |  |  |  | Feature F2 |  |  |  |  | Class |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Value | $\mathbf{0}$ | $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{2}$ | $\mathbf{0}$ | $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{2}$ | $\mathbf{3}$ |  |  |  |
|  | 1 | 0 | 3 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 1 | A |  |  |
| counts | 0 | 1 | 3 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | B |  |  |
| "counts" | 1.5 | 0.5 | 3.5 | 2.5 | 0.5 | 1.5 | 1.5 | A |  |  |
| Jeffreys | 0.5 | 1.5 | 3.5 | 4.5 | 0.5 | 0.5 | 0.5 | B |  |  |
| $2 \times$ counts | 3 | 1 | 7 | 5 | 1 | 3 | 3 | A |  |  |
| Jeffreys | 1 | 3 | 7 | 9 | 1 | 1 | 1 | B |  |  |
|  | 2 | 1 | 4 | 3 | 1 | 2 | 2 | A |  |  |
| Laplace | 1 | 2 | 4 | 5 | 1 | 1 | 1 | B |  |  |
|  | 1 | 3 | 4 | 3 | 1 | 1 | 3 | A |  |  |
| TRUE | 4 | 3 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | B |  |  |


| Solution: |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Values | $\mathrm{P}[\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{A} \mid \mathrm{F} 1, \mathrm{~F} 2]$ | $: \mathrm{P}[\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{B} \mid \mathrm{F} 1, \mathrm{~F} 2]$ | Using Prior: |
| F1 | F2 | MLE | Jeffreys | Laplace |
| 2 | 3 | $3: 0=\infty$ | 3:1 (75\%) | 2: 1 (67\%) |
| 1 | 1 | $0: 0=N a N$ | 1:3 (25\%) | 1:2 (33\%) |
| 0 | 3 | $1: 0=\infty$ | 9:1 (90\%) | 4:1 (80\%) |
| 1 | 0 | $0: 4=0$ | 5:27 (16\%) | 3: $10(23 \%)$ |
| 0 | 0 | $2: 0=\infty$ | 5:3 (62\%) | 6:5 (55\%) |
| 1 | 3 | $0: 0=N a N$ | 1:1 (50\%) | 1:1 (50\%) |
| 0 | 2 | $1: 0=\infty$ | 9:1 (90\%) | 4:1 (80\%) |
| 0 | 1 | $0: 0=\infty$ | $3: 1$ (75\%) | 2:1 (67\%) |
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## Question 6

What parameter values in the table on the previous path match which plot in the table above? Fill in the "Label" column and use the "Comment" column and/or space at bottom to explain your answers.

| ID | Distribution | Label <br> $(\mathrm{A}-\mathrm{I})$ | Comment |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :--- |
| 1 | $0.8 \operatorname{Beta}(9,9)+0.2 \operatorname{Beta}(9,0.1)$ | H | main component near 0.5, another one at extreme rate $=1$ |
| 2 | $\operatorname{Beta}(0.5,0.5)$ | E | Jeffrey Prior, symmetric with weight at extremes |
| 3 | $0.5 \operatorname{Beta}(3,6)+0.5 \operatorname{Beta}(6,3)$ | F | symmetric sum of two bell shapes, centered on $\frac{1}{3}$ and $\frac{2}{3}$ |
| 4 | $\operatorname{Beta}(2,3)$ | I | bell shaped somewhat favoring rate $<0.5$ |
| 5 | $0.5 \operatorname{Beta}(20,20)+0.5 \operatorname{Beta}(20,1)$ | C | mixture of rate close to 0.5 or very near 1 |
| 6 | $0.3 \operatorname{Beta}(3,2)+0.7 \operatorname{Beta}(0.2,1)$ | B | mixture of sharpish on near 0 and bell on ${ }^{3} / 5$ |
| 7 | $\operatorname{Beta}(0.2,9)$ | A | Extreme favoring of rate near zero |
| 8 | $0.5 \operatorname{Beta}(0.5,5)+0.5 \operatorname{Beta}(10,2)$ | D | Extreme towards 1 mixed with rounded on $5 / 6$ |
| 9 | $0.8 \operatorname{Beta}(9,9)+0.2 \operatorname{Beta}(0.2,0.2)$ | G | Symmetric. Strong fair bell mixed with very sharp at extremes |

Most of the plots above are unique enough to be easy to match to their parameters. The most similar ones are perhaps, $\mathrm{C}, \mathrm{G} \& \mathrm{H}$. But G is symmetric, while the other two are not. The component of H near rate $=1$ is much sharper than that of C , so that component should have a small value for $\alpha$; and in fact it is 0.1 for H , compared to 1 for C .

